

City of Springfield  
Regular Meeting

MINUTES OF THE JOINT REGULAR MEETING OF  
THE SPRINGFIELD PLANNING COMMISSION  
AND THE LANE COUNTY COMMISSION  
Tuesday, May 6, 2008

The City of Springfield Planning Commission met in regular session in the Council Meeting Room, 225 Fifth Street, Springfield, Oregon on Tuesday, May 6, 2008; 7:00 p.m., with Frank Cross as Springfield Planning Commission Chair.

**ATTENDANCE**

Present were Chair Frank Cross, Vice Chair Johnny Kirschenmann and Planning Commissioners Lee Beyer, Sheri Moore, Eric Smith, Terri Leezer and Steve Moe. Also present were Development Service Director Bill Grile, Planning Manager Greg Mott, Planning Supervisor Linda Pauly, Planning Secretary Brenda Jones, and City Attorney Joe Leahy.

Also Present from Lane County Commission Chair John Sullivan, Vice Chair Lisa Arkin and Lane County Commissioners Stephen Digham,

**ABSENT**

- Two Lane County Commissioners – Get names from Stephanie Schulz

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

- The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Chair Frank Cross.

**BUSINESS FROM THE AUDIENCE**

- None

**QUASI-JUDICIAL PUBLIC HEARING**

- **Public Facilities and Services Plan Amendment (PFSP)–**

The City of Springfield is proposing to amend the Eugene-Springfield Metropolitan Area General Plan (Metro Plan) and the Eugene-Springfield Public Facilities and Services Plan (PFSP) by adding or modifying nine projects in Table 4 of the PFSP, deleting four projects from Table 4 and five projects from Table 16 of the PFSP, and updating Map 2 in the general location of the new projects added to Table 4. Because the Metro Plan adopted these maps and tables as part of the adoption of the PFSP in 2001, any amendments to these maps and tables in the PFSP requires a concurrent amendment to the Metro Plan.

The City of Springfield has determined that upgrades to the city's wastewater collection system need to be undertaken in order to provide wastewater services to future planned population and employment growth. Several of these upgrades need to be included in the lists and maps of the Metro Plan and the Public Facilities and Services Plan. Inclusion of these projects into these documents requires a post-acknowledgement plan amendment, the Lane County Board of Commissioners must co-adopt these amendments because several of these facilities are outside the city limits, by provision in the Metro Plan, the City of Eugene is not required to participate and had opted not to do so.

The City of Springfield completed an analysis of its wastewater collection system and has used this analysis as a basis for development of the Wastewater Master Plan – 2008 (WWMP). The WWMP replaces several earlier sanitary sewer plan/studies that attempted to address issues needs on a basin by basin basis. These earlier studies were based on Springfield having its own treatment plant facility (formerly located at Aspen and West D); on various state and federal water quality laws subsequently superseded; and on data not on par with the quantity and quality of data currently available to undertake such an analysis. The WWMP unifies the city's collection system under a single plan; acknowledges the single regional treatment plan and makes appropriate adjustments to new environmental laws. The WWMP makes a number of comprehensive recommendations for improvement to the system including upgrades to several pipe systems and pumps. The significance of some of these facilities upgrades requires their inclusion in the Metro Plan and PFSP as specified in Oregon Administrative Rule 60 Division 11 – Public Facilities Planning.

Greg Mott Springfield Planning Manager started by explaining why the Springfield and Lane County Commission were meeting regarding the

In 2004 Elected official amended the Metro Plan.....which included amendments to the PFSP this action was appealed to LUBA by the Home Builders. This appeal was remanded back to the jurisdictions .....amended 2001, 2004 and again 2006. Tonight we want to replace Map 2 with a new Map 2. Greg explained the three maps posted behind the commissioners. These maps will be added to the PFSP....

Criteria for amending Metro Plan. Springfield's Development Code 5.14-135, Lane County also has the same language in there code which is required by the State Administrative Rules.

The creation of the City's Wastewater Master Plan (WWMP) is in response.....

Greg also explained the notice that was sent out to about 1800

Mike Butler called with questions regarding the pump station located close to his property. Also Lauri Segal also called and spoke with Greg Mott.

No date has been scheduled for the Joint Elected Officials. When we find out the date we will be sending another notice to the 1800 from the Joint PC Meeting

Matt Stouder Engineer with the city of Springfield Public Works, for several reasons for bringing this to the planning commissioners, for the update of the PFSP which will be used to 2025..... objective of the plan is to .....the plan will provide information for PW's .....the city contracted with CH2MHill, .....the planning area used for this plan was for inside the city limits. The results of the plan show 3 distinct areas that need improvement .....

Turn to 5.3 on page 45, highlight some of the projects, 1) project in red, projects needed for improvement, 2) projects in orange which have compacity issues; 3) Green for future improvements needed for future expansions

5.5 page 51, this shows the expansion of new projects needed for future development ;....franklin blvd....

June 16 is an tentative date scheduled for the Joint Elected Officials

Questions from the commissioners

\*Steven Dignam- how many projects are outside city limits and in the UBG.  
Matt Stouder answered that the Jasper Road Extension - ..... And PeaceHealth pump station.....

\*Jozef – the plan said that there was three pump stations outside the city limits  
Matt

\*Nancy Nichols – Harbor drive, is there any future plan to install sewer and sanitary....  
Matt – size of the pipe is not large enough to be place on the , but should be shown on .....figure 5.5

\*Lisa Arkin – where is the waste treated  
Matt – all of Springfield sewer is treated at the

\*Lisa Arkin –  
Matt –

\*Greg Mott – interjected that there is an overlay district that .....significantly limits the use of the land when it speaks to .....provision of services, there are other policies fall back with connected to other service providers that do not require annexation. The metro plans were created when we had a Boundary Commission. The new laws need to be talked about by Springfield, Eugene and Lane County.....There is nothing in the ordinances that would.....we will not substitute anything for the UF properties.

\*Nancy Nichols - Harbor Drive is in the Urban Growth so is there any plans to upgrade these properties

Greg – if there was property that needed .....the city council does not have any strategy to apply....

\*Nancy Nichols

Greg Mott-

Matt Stouder – this is how it should be serviced

Joe Leahy City Attorney – the emergency in Glenwood was not a DEQ emergency it was a City of Springfield emergency. The city took care of those properties....this was inherited from the City of Eugene, so Springfield stepped in to take care of the issues

\*Jozef – did the .....Get approved by the DEQ

Ken Vogeney Springfield City Engineer – the MWMPC has been administratively ....we are still discharging legally. The DEQ does not necessarily get copies of this plan....

\*Lee Beyer – responded that the DEQ doesn't care how it gets ...

Ken Vogeney – some of the properties .....

\*Lee Beyer – this plan is very specific by the size of pipe, if you change your mind and wanted to use a different size, does that need to come back to the Planning Commission..

Matt Stouder - .....

Greg Mott – the status and the final condition of the master plan is not part of the PFSP, it certainly.....if the council wants to take an action on this plan, there ordinance that adopts the plan can be directed to what and how it is administered.... Similar to the actual ...as the rule ....is not part of the land use arena....right all of the time, it is relevant to the need on the site.

\*Lee Beyer

Greg Mott

Matt Stouder

\*Lee Beyer ....City decision

Greg Mott – we have a policy for cost...size....pipes over a certain size...not the developer or the property owner pays for that, the city pays for the difference.

\*Lee Beyer - .....go back and do a plan change

\*Sheri Moore – the waste management plan is already adding to there capacity....how does

Matt Stouder - ....the model analysis has been updated.....

\*Jozef asked how is the city getting the population growth

Greg Mott – When the city updated the Commercial.....we updated the population to 2015 which has a projected population. This is not a precise science. The PFSP, which was created.....region 2050 plan has been used for several plans being

developed....some of our plans are out of date.....2030-2031....those require plan amendments or will conclude 2009.

Frank Cross asked if there was any more questions from the Commissioners

Audience:

Lavone Helman, 12<sup>th</sup> and M Street, the year 2003, I have an easement on the back of our properties. The city replaced our pipes with cement pipes, when they replaced with the plastic pipe. There was no where for the water to go, so our backyard was flooded. She acknowledges that there was an enormous amount of water that year. With the topography of the property .... It was not cost effective for the City .....the city of Springfield was wonderful to help with this issue.....when the rain waters come it does create a lot of problem.....has plans to have a dry well dug that may help.... People do not come forward unless they are having problems. So please keep those people in mind.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

SUMMATION BY STAFF

COMMISSIONER DISCUSSION:

\*Stephen Dignam – is LC suppose to comment on both items- Yes

\*Frank Cross asked if they

\*Lee Beyer

Recommend the proposal to council as are written

Greg Mott – the flexibility that the commissioners have is that the cities wastewater plan.....

Stephanie Schulz – explained attachment 2

\*Lee Beyer – this will require a little more planning ...

Greg Mott – the City

\*Frank Cross – explained how this will work....

\*Jozef – technical question - do these properties automatically annex to the City of Springfield. Is there a permit process for this

Greg Mott – Greg explained what the requirements would be

\*John Sullivan – asked if both bodies need one motion from each city or should there be two motions by both cities

Greg Mott –

\*John Sullivan - .....is that accurate

Lee Beyer – made a motion that the PC the adoption to the proposed a,eLRP2008-00007  
Terri Leezer 2<sup>nd</sup>. Steve Moe 6:0:1abstained]

Lee beyer motioned that the pc adoption to the amendments to the LRP2008-0007 2<sup>nd</sup> Johnny  
no discussion 6:0:1 abstained

Stephen seconded Todd 7:0:2 absent

Stephen concurred and made a motion and moved PFSP seconded Todd – no discussion also  
concurred no further discussion 7:0:2 absent

Close of the Joint Planning Commission Public Hearing at 8:02

It was moved by Planning Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ and seconded by Planning Commission \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

**BUSINESS FROM THE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DIRECTOR**

- 

**REPORT OF COUNCIL ACTION**

- 

**BUSINESS FROM THE COMMISSION**

- 

**ADJOURNMENT**

- The meeting was adjourned at 9:00 p.m.

Minutes recorded by Brenda Jones, and Transcribed by Kim Young